# The Generalized Schrödinger-Langevin equation

Pedro Bargueño[1] and Salvador Miret–Artés[2]
[1] *Departamento de Física, Universidad de los Andes, Apartado Aéreo 4976, Bogotá, Distrito Capital, Colombia (p.bargueno@uniandes.edu.co)*
[2] *Instituto de Física Fundamental, CSIC, Serrano 123, 28006, Madrid, Spain (s.miret@iff.csic.es)*

In this work, we derive a generalization of the so-called Schrödinger-Langevin or Kostin equation for a Brownian particle interacting with a heat bath. This generalization is based on a nonlinear interaction model providing a state-dependent dissipation process exhibiting multiplicative noise. Two straightforward applications to the measurement process are then analyzed, continuous and weak measurements in terms of the quantum Bohmian trajectory formalism. Finally, it is also shown that the generalized uncertainty principle, which appears in some approaches to quantum gravity, can be expressed in terms of this generalized equation.

arXiv:1401.4405v1 [quant-ph] 17 Jan 2014

Quantum stochasticity constitutes a very broad and active field of research within quantum mechanics. Real physical systems do not exist in complete isolation and one then speaks about open quantum systems [1–3]. Thus, the interaction of a quantum system with its environment can not be totally neglected leading to an entanglement between them. The corresponding theory encompasses a series of formalisms and approaches developed to deal with this complex but fundamental issue. Three main approaches are usually considered in this context: (i) effective time-dependent Hamiltonians, (ii) nonlinear (logarithmic) Schrödinger equations and (iii) the system-plus-bath model within a conservative scenario. Obviously, links among them can be found. For example, in the last approach, and for one dimensional systems, the so–called Caldeira-Leggett Hamiltonian [4] is the starting point leading to the generalized Langevin equation (GLE). One of the key issues is the interaction term which by construction is linear in the bath coordinates. The dependence on the system variable is through a function $f(x)$ which usually is separable and linear (linear dissipation). This scenario is known as a state–independent dissipation and can be seen as a measurement of particle's position by a reservoir in von Neumann's sense. [1] This function also appears in an additional term in the total Hamiltonian in order to avoid the renormalization of the interaction potential. In the Markovian regime with Ohmic friction, this GLE becomes the standard Langevin equation for a Brownian particle where noise is additive. Kostin [5] established the link between this standard Langevin equation with the Schrödinger equation leading to a nonlinear, logarithmic equation termed the Schrödinger-Langevin (SL) or Kostin equation. This type of equations are quite different from others existing in the literature such as the so–called stochastic Schrödinger equation and the Linblad equation for the density matrix. [2]

For a nonlinear function $f(x)$, the open quantum system displays a state dependent dissipation process and the corresponding GLE exhibits multiplicative noise. [6, 7] Typical examples of nonlinear functions take place, for example, in rotational tunneling systems [8], quasi-particle tunneling in Josephson systems [9], in the Langevin canonical formulation of chiral two level systems [10], in atom surface scattering [11] and so on. Within the Markovian regime, the standard Langevin equation with multiplicative noise is reached. The main purpose of this work is to derive a generalization of the SL or Kostin equation for nonlinear dissipation which is termed the generalized SL equation (GSLE).

Once this equation is established, three straightforward applications dealing with two measurement processes and quantum gravity are analyzed. The first one considers continuous measurement. As is known, the mere presence of an observing apparatus should considerable affect the behavior of the measuring system. These frequent measurements are at the origin of the so-called Zeno [12–14] and anti-Zeno effects. [15, 16] Very recently, Nassar [17] has proposed a nonlinear logarithmic Schrödinger equation under continuous measurement as a generalization originally due to Mensky [18] and Bialynicki-Birula and Mycielski [19]. The establishment of a dividing line between the classical and quantum regimes is one of the main aspects of the measurement process. [20]

The second application focuses on weak measurements. For this goal, it is pertinent to analyze the consequences of this GSLE from a hydrodynamical point of view or following Bohmian mechanics [3]. This can be carried out by replacing the wave function $\psi$ (and $\psi^*$) by its real amplitude and real quantum phase. As it is well known, when conservative systems are considered, the gradient of this quantum phase gives the momentum of the particle from the so-called guiding condition (see, for example, Ref. [3]). Weak values were proposed by Aharonov *et al.* [21] and are considered to play a key role in fundamental problems of quantum mechanics (see, for example, Refs. [22, 23]). Even more, as has recently pointed out by Hiley [24] in the context of Bohmian mechanics, these weak values are merely transition probability amplitudes which, in general, are complex magnitudes. In particular, for two sequential measurements (one weak and the other one strong) of complementary observables such as, for example, momentum and position, the real part provides

us the velocity of the Bohmian particle and the imaginary part the so-called osmotic velocity due to the presence of a gradient of the quantum probability. On the contrary, when we are dealing with open quantum systems, the new guiding condition is issued from our the previous derived GSLE.

Finally, the third application deals with the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP), which appears in the context of the unification of quantum mechanics and general relativity in several proposals (see [25] and references therein). This principle gives place to deformed commutation relations which are linear or quadratic in particle momenta. In the linear case, which corresponds to double special relativity theories [26], this fact leads to express the corresponding dynamics in terms of a gravitational friction within the GLE framework with a given function $f(x)$. [25] Therefore, the corresponding GSLE can then be easily derived in terms of this particular function.

Without loss of generality, a one dimensional problem is considered. For open systems, it is usual to split the total Hamiltonian into three parts including system, bath and mutual coupling, in such a way that

$$H = H_s + H_b + H_{sb}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$H_s = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x) \tag{2}$$

stands for the Hamiltonian of the isolated system in presence of a force field given by the potential $V(x)$;

$$H_b = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \left(\frac{p_i^2}{m_i} + m_i\omega_i^2 x_i^2\right) \tag{3}$$

is the Hamiltonian for the bath, which acts as a reservoir, and can be represented as an infinite set of harmonic oscillators; and

$$H_{sb} = \sum_i \left[\frac{f^2(x)d_i^2}{m_i\omega_i^2} - 2d_i f(x)x_i\right] \tag{4}$$

expressing the interaction term between the isolated system and the bath, $d_i$ being appropriate coupling constants. The function $f(x)$ is, in general, a nonlinear function of the system variable $x$. The term with the square of $f(x)$ gives the so–called counter term introduced to compensate the renormalizaton of the potential. Following the standard procedure where the bath degrees of freedom are eliminated, the equation of motion for the corresponding system dynamics in the Heisenberg picture of quantum mechanics is given by the GLE [4]

$$f'[x(t)]\,\xi(t) = m\ddot{x}(t) + V'(x) + mf'[x(t)]\int_0^t dt'\alpha(t-t')f'[x(t')]\,\dot{x}(t') \tag{5}$$

where the time–dependent friction (memory kernel) is given by

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_i \frac{d_i^2}{m_i\omega_i^2}\cos(\omega_i t) \tag{6}$$

and the external force (noise term) is expressed as

$$\xi(t) = -\sum_i d_i\left[\left(x_i(0) + \frac{d_i}{m_i\omega_i^2}f(0)\right)\cos(\omega_i t)\right] - d_i\sum_i\left[\frac{p_i(0)}{m_i\omega_i}\sin(w_i t)\right]. \tag{7}$$

In the Markovian regime, the memory kernel is a $\delta$–function in time, giving place to an Ohmic dissipation with a time–independent friction. Within this regime and for a nonlinear coupling, the corresponding standard Langevin equation reads as

$$m\ddot{x}(t) + m\alpha\left[f'(x)\right]^2\dot{x}(t) + V'(x) = f'\left[x(t)\right]\xi(t). \tag{8}$$

Notice that the random force is multiplied by the derivative of the function $f(x)$ giving place to a stochastic process with multiplicative noise. When the system–bath coupling is linear, that is, for $f(x) = x$, the standard Langevin equation for additive noise (that is, when the noise term is not multiplied by any system function) with Ohmic friction is recovered

$$m\ddot{x}(t) + m\alpha\dot{x}(t) + V'(x) = \xi(t). \tag{9}$$

Following the Kostin procedure [5], the generalized SL equation (that is, for any $f(x)$) can be obtained by writing first the Schrödinger equation as

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 + V(x) + V_d + V_r\right]\psi, \tag{10}$$

where $V_d$ and $V_r$ are the dissipative and random potentials to be specified later on. The quantum mechanical current is defined as

$$J = \frac{1}{2m}\left[\psi^*\left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\psi + \psi\left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\psi^*\right] \tag{11}$$

Then, from $\partial\psi/\partial t$ and $\partial\psi^*/\partial t$ and Eq. (10) we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle x\rangle = \int J dx$$
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\langle x\rangle = \int \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}dx. \tag{12}$$

where $< . >$ is the expectation value of a given operator.

Now, by performing the same type of averaging into Eq. (8)

$$m\langle\ddot{x}(t)\rangle + m\alpha\langle\left[f'(x)\right]^2\dot{x}(t)\rangle + \langle V'(x)\rangle = \langle f'(x)\xi(t)\rangle, \tag{13}$$

and comparing it with Eq. (10) and using Eq. (12), we can identify terms leading to

$$\int \psi^* \left(-\frac{\partial V_d}{\partial x}\right) \psi dx = \alpha m \int f'(x)^2 J dx. \tag{14}$$

Thus, the damping potential is a functional of the wave function and can be expressed as

$$V_d\left[\psi, \psi^*, f\right] = -m\alpha \int \frac{\tilde{J}}{\psi\psi^*} dx, \tag{15}$$

where the new quantum mechanical current is now defined as

$$\tilde{J} \equiv f'(x)^2 J \tag{16}$$

which is coupling–dependent. On the other hand, the generalized random potential due to the heat bath corresponding to the random force $\xi(t)$ can be written as

$$V_r = -f(x)\xi(t). \tag{17}$$

Finally, the corresponding GSLE can be then expressed by

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 + V(x) - m\alpha \int \frac{\tilde{J}}{\psi\psi^*} dx - f(x)\xi(t) - W(t)\right]\psi. \tag{18}$$

where $W(t) = \langle V_d \rangle$ arises from the requirement that the integration of Eq. (15) with respect to $x$ must be equal to the expectation values of the kinetic and potential energies through the total Hamiltonian. As mentioned by Kostin [5], this term can be removed from Eq. (18) by introducing the transformation of the wave function $\psi(x,t) = e^{i\theta(t)}\phi(x,t)$. We also note that when the coupling function $f$ is assumed to be linear in the system variable, Eq. (18) reduces to the standard SL or Kostin equation [5].

A new and straightforward generalization of Eq. (18) is when continuous measurement is considered. As has been recently shown, this process can also be described by a nonlinear logarithmic Schrödinger equation [17, 20]. Thus, we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 + V(x) - m\alpha \int \frac{\tilde{J}}{\psi\psi^*} dx - f(x)\xi(t) - W(t) + W_\kappa(x,t)\right]\psi. \tag{19}$$

where $W_\kappa(x,t) = -i\hbar\kappa[\ln|\psi(x,t)|^2 - \langle \ln|\psi(x,t)|^2\rangle]$ and $\kappa$ gives the resolution of the continuous measurement. These two basic decoherence mechanisms are thus put on equal footing. This more general equation should be applicable to the Zeno and anti-Zeno effects in presence of an environment displaying nonlinear dissipation and, in general, to the so-called environment induced decoherence. [27]

Our next goal is to propose an equation governing the weak measurement process in presence of a heat bath. For this purpose, instead of dealing with the two fields $\psi$ and $\psi^*$, Eq. (18) can be written in terms of the hydrodynamical or quantum trajectory formulation by expressing the wave function in polar form with a real amplitude $A(x,t)$ and real phase $S(x,t)$ as

$$\psi(x,t) = A(x,t)e^{iS(x,t)/\hbar}. \tag{20}$$

Then, Eq. (10) can now be split into a system of two coupled equations,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^2 - (V + V_d + V_r + Q) \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{\partial A^2}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{m}\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\frac{\partial A^2}{\partial x} - \frac{A^2}{m}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2}, \tag{22}$$

where

$$Q(x,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2mA}\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} \tag{23}$$

is the quantum potential. Note that the first and second equations are the quantum Hamilton-Jacobi and continuity equations, respectively. Moreover, the current density is expressed in this formalism as

$$J = \frac{\psi\psi^*}{m}\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}. \tag{24}$$

As is known, the gradient of the wave function phase is associated with the trajectory momentum by means of

$p(x,t) = \partial S(x,t)/\partial x$ (the guiding condition). By differentiating Eq. (21), the time evolution of $p(x,t)$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{p}{m}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(V + V_d + V_r + Q\right). \tag{25}$$

According to Eq. (8), which can be interpreted in terms of the Lagrangian framework of hydrodynamics, the corresponding quantum Newton-Langevin equation including the dissipative and random sources can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = &-\frac{p}{m}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(V + Q\right) \\ &- \alpha f'(x)^2 p - f'(x)\xi(t).\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Then, by integrating Eq. (26) with respect to $x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = &\frac{p^2}{2m} + V + Q + \alpha\int f'(x)^2 p dx \\ &+ f(x)\xi(t) + C(t),\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $C(t)$ is an arbitrary time function resulting from the space integration to be specified later on. This equation gives the evolution of the wave function phase in presence of damping which corresponds to a generalized Caldeira–Leggett coupling. Clearly, the random potential is also expressed in this case by Eq. (17).

Moreover, the partial integration in Eq. (27) leads to

$$\int\left(\frac{df}{dx}\right)^2 p dx = \left(\frac{df}{dx}\right)^2 S - 2\int S\frac{df}{dx}\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}dx, \tag{28}$$

which gives place naturally to the coupling–dependent phase

$$\tilde{S} \equiv \left(\frac{df}{dx}\right)^2 S - 2\int S\frac{df}{dx}\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}dx. \tag{29}$$

which can be straightforwardly expressed as

$$\tilde{S} = m\int\frac{\tilde{J}}{\psi\psi^*}dx \tag{30}$$

with

$$V_d\left[\psi,\psi^*,f\right] = -\alpha\tilde{S}. \tag{31}$$

Importantly, Eq. (31) includes, as a special case, the Bohmian version of the Kostin equation when the coupling is linear. In this case, $\tilde{J}\to J$ and $V_d\left[\psi,\psi^*,f\right]\to V_d\left[\psi,\psi^*,x\right] = -\alpha S$, which is the dissipative potential expressed within the Bohmian formalism [20, 28, 29].

The constant of integration $C(t)$ can be defined in such a way that the overall phase of the wave function should not affect its evolution. This requirement is satisfied when $C(t) = -\alpha\langle\tilde{S}\rangle$. Therefore, $C(t)\equiv W(t)$ and for a general non–linear coupling, the GSLE or Kostin equation can be rewritten in terms of the modified quantum action, $\tilde{S}$, as

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 + V(x) - \alpha\left(\tilde{S} - \langle\tilde{S}\rangle\right) - f(x)\xi(t)\right]\psi. \tag{32}$$

Thus, the corresponding generalized Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the nonlinear dissipation is given by Eq. (21) with $V_d$ given by Eq. (31) and $V_r$ by Eq. (17). Notice, however, that the continuity equation is the same expressed by Eq. (22). As before, the term $W_\kappa$ could also be added for describing the continuous measurement process.

After Hiley [24], the sequential measurement of the momentum and position of a particle of mass $m$ is given by the weak value or transition probability amplitude

$$\frac{\langle x|p|\psi\rangle}{\langle x|\psi\rangle} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} - \frac{i}{2A^2}\frac{\partial A^2}{\partial x} \tag{33}$$

where $\psi$ obeys the standard (conservative and linear) time-dependent Schrödinger equation and is expressed in polar form according to Eq. (20). The real part is the Bohmian velocity of the particle and the imaginary part the so-called osmotic velocity due to the presence of the gradient of the quantum probability. In this context, the same weak value is obtained in presence of an environment but now $\psi$ is governed by Eq. (18) for linear and/or nonlinear dissipation.

Finally, let us apply this formalism to the GUP. As shown recently [25], the deformed commutation relations $[x,p] = i\hbar\left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{m_p c}p\right)$, where $\gamma$ is the dimensionless GUP parameter and $m_p$ is the Planck mass, can be expressed as $[x,p] = i\hbar\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}p\right)$ where $\alpha \equiv \gamma/m_p c$ is a friction coefficient. Moreover, this commutation relation leads to a GLE with a position–dependent coupling that turns out to be $f(x) = \int_0^x\sqrt{V'(y)}dy$. In this case, working within the Bohmian formalism we arrive at the following

damping potential for the GUP,

$$V_d = -\alpha\tilde{S} = -\frac{2\gamma}{m_p c} p\, V(x). \tag{34}$$

Therefore, the corresponding GSLE can be written as

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 + V(x)\left(1-\frac{2\gamma}{m_p c}p\right) - \frac{2\gamma}{m_p c}\langle p\, V(x)\rangle\right]\psi. \tag{35}$$

Notice, that, although Eq. (35) predicts the correct Langevin–like equation derived from the deformed commutation relations (Eq. (6) of ref. [25]), the correct dynamics for the phase space variables can not be obtained from it. This situation is similar to that found within the well known Caldirola–Kanai effective Hamiltonian approach [3].

In summary, along this work we have derived a general SL equation valid for quantum processes in presence of nonlinear friction and a heat bath. This equation is reduced to the Kostin equation for linear friction. Afterwards, we have focused on the measurement process and studied two main topics in this context, the continuous measurement process and weak measurements. In the first issue, we have extended the GSLE to include frequent observations and proposed the equation governing the corresponding dynamics. This equation should be applicable to the Zeno and anti-Zeno effects for open systems. In the second issue, we have rewritten the GSLE within the Bohmian formalism and expressed the guiding condition for obtaining the corresponding stochastic quantum trajectories. Afterwards, weak values have been discussed in terms of these quantum trajectories when a sequential measurement of momentum and position of a mass particle are carried out. Finally, in the context of the generalized uncertanty principle [25], the corresponding GLSE has also been derived.

This work has been funded by the MICINN (Spain) through Grant No. FIS2011-29596-C02-C01. S. M.-A. acknowledges the COST Action MP1006 entitled "Fundamental Problems in Quantum Physics"


[1] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems*, Series in Modern Condensed Matter Physics **13**, World Scientific (2008).

[2] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.

[3] A. S. Sanz and S. Miret-Artés, *A Trajectory Description of Quantum Processes. A Bohmian Perspective.*, Lecture Notes in Physics **850** (2012) and **831** (2014), Springer.

[4] A. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett., **46**, 211 (1981).

[5] M. D. Kostin, J. Chem. Phys. **57**, 3589 (1972).

[6] P. Hänggi and P. Jung, Adv. Chem. Phys. **LXXXIX**, 239 (1995).

[7] J. M. Sancho, M. San Miguel, S. L. Katz and J. D. Gunton, Phys. Rev. A **26**, 1589 (1982).

[8] K. H. Stevens, J. Phys. C **16**, 5765 (1983).

[9] V. Ambegaokar and U. Eckern, Z. Physik B **69**, 399 (1987).

[10] A. Dorta-Urra, H. C. Peñate-Rodriguez, P. Bargueño, G. Rojas-Lorenzo and S. Miret-Artés, J. Chem. Phys. **136**, 174505 (2012)

[11] S. Miret-Artés and E. Pollak, Surf. Sci. Rep. **67**, 161 (2012).

[12] B. Misra and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 756 (1977); C. B. Chin, E. C. G. Sudarshan and B. Misra, *Phys. Rev. D* **16**, 520 (1977).

[13] A. Peres, *Am. J. Phys.* **48**, 931 (1980); A. Peres and A. Ron, *Phys. Rev. A* **42**, 5720 (1990).

[14] W.M. Itano, D.J. Heinzen, J.J. Bollinger and D.J. Wineland, *Phys. Rev. A* **41**, 2295 (1990).

[15] A. G. Kofman and G. Kurizki, Nature **405**, 546 (2000).

[16] A. G. Kofman and G. Kurizki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 270405 (2001).

[17] A. Nassar, Ann. Phys. **331**, 317 (2013).

[18] M. B. Mensky, *Phys. Lett.* **A231**, 1 (1997); *Phys. Lett.* **A307**, 85 (2003); *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **37**, 273 (1998);*Continuous Quantum Measurement and Path Integrals* (IOP Pub., Bristol, 1993).

[19] I. Bialynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski, Ann. Phys. (NY) **100**, 62 (1976).

[20] A. Nassar and S. Miret-Artés, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 150401 (2013).

[21] Y. Aharonov, D. Z. Albert and L. Vaidman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1351 (1988).

[22] S. Kocsis, B. Braverman, S. Ravets, M. J. Stevens, R. P. Mirin, L. K. Shalm, A. M. Steinberg, Science **332**, 1170 (2011).

[23] J. S. Lunden, B. Sutherland, A. Patel, C. Stewart and C. Bamber, Nature **474**, 188 (2011).

[24] B. J. Hiley, J. Phys.: Conference Series **361**, 012014 (2012).

[25] P. Bargueño, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 496 (2013).

[26] J. Magueijo, L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 190403 (2002).

[27] S. Maniscalco, J. Piilo, K. A. Suominen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 130402 (2006).

[28] M. Razavi, Classical and Quantum Dissipative Systems,

Imperial College Press, London (2005).

[29] S. Garashchuck, V. Dixit, B. Gu and J. Mazzuca, J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 054107 (2013).